1) The Misadventures 1

## The Emancipated Spectator

JACQUES RANCIÈRE

Translated by Gregory Elliott

2009



London • New York

## The Misadventures of Critical Thought

critique of critique. account of the persistence of a model of interpretation and the orientation and supposed ends. We must therefore take cisely in the discourse of those who proclaim their extinction. inversion of its sense, if we wish to engage in a genuine But their current usage witnesses a complete reversal of their are by no means obsolete. They still function very well, preshow that the concepts and procedures of the critical tradition my voice to this discourse. On the contrary, I would like to sumer society. Let me say at the outset: I do not intend to add nor any dark reverse side to be opposed to the triumph of conany solid reality to counter-pose to the reign of appearances, liance of appearances. But today there is allegedly no longer denouncing the dark, solid reality concealed behind the bril-I am certainly not the first to challenge the tradition of social have declared that its days are gone. Once we could have fun and cultural critique my generation grew up in. Many authors

To this end, I shall examine some contemporary expressions that illustrate the inversion of the modes of description and demonstration peculiar to the critical tradition in the domains of art, politics and theory. For this I shall start from the domain where that tradition is still most persistent – art, in particular those major international exhibitions where the

27

ciently eloquent on the subject. seemed to mean: no need for a title - the image itself is suffiphoto was called simply Untitled, which, in this context, carrying placards, while the foreground is taken up with a of the civil war in Iraq, visitors could see photographs of antidustbin whose contents are overflowing onto the ground. The tion: in it, in the background we see a group of demonstrators York, Josephine Meckseper. One of these captured the attenwar demonstrations taken by a German artist based in New devoted to the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. Alongside images machine, and visitors entered the exhibition through rooms economic, and political networks'. Foremost among these devastating machineries was obviously the American war alization, 'those machineries that decimate and erode social, Enwezor, devoted the event to unmasking, at the hour of globwas that in 2006 the curator of the Seville Biennial, Okwui work of a general reflection on the state of the world. Thus it presentation of artworks is willingly inscribed in the frame-

We can understand what the image said by relating the tension between the political placards and the dustbin to an artistic form that is particularly representative of the critical tradition in art – collage. The photograph of the demonstration is not a collage in the technical sense of the term, but its effect exploits the elements that account for the artistic and political success of collage and photomontage: the clash on the same surface of heterogeneous, if not conflicting, elements. In the days of surrealism, the procedure served to express the reality of desire and dreams repressed under the prosaic character of bourgeois quotidian reality. Marxism then seized on it to

an awareness of the hidden reality and a feeling of guilt about the denied reality. a situation entails a desire to change it. That is why the image for it. The critical procedure thus aimed to have a dual effect: do not want to see, because you know that you are responsible said something else. It said: here is the obvious reality that you knowledge. But it is not obviously the case that knowledge of become acquainted with it and act in accordance with that the hidden reality that you do not know how to see; you must violence of imperialist war, but also a feeling of guilty comnation that connected American domestic happiness to the to produce a dual effect: awareness of the system of domiplicity in this system. On the one hand, the image said: here is rying in his arms a dead child, killed by American army bullets. The connection between the two images was supposed montage entitled Balloons showed us a Vietnamese man cara spacious detached house with inflated balloons in a corner, a images of the Vietnam War. Thus, against the background of affixed to images of happy American domestic interiors montages created by a committed American artist, Martha alienation effect. In the 1970s, it was still that of the photo-Rosler, in her series entitled 'Bringing the War Home', which and democratic peace. This was the principle of Brecht's concealed beneath the appearances of quotidian ordinariness erogeneous elements, the violence of the class domination render palpable, through the incongruous encounter of het-

The photo of the demonstrators and the dustbin brings into play the same elements as those photomontages: distant war and domestic consumption. Josephine Meckseper is not less opposed to the war of George Bush than Martha Rosler was to the war of Richard Nixon. But the interplay of opposites works quite differently. It does not link American over-consumption

The precise title of the event was 'The Unhomely: Phantom Scenes in Global Society'.

as the spectacle of the collapse of the empire of commodities destruction of the Twin Towers, which had itself been staged cuted by the empire of consumption that releases bombs on attests to in its way. They are protesting against the war prose-Middle Eastern cities. But these bombs are a response to the fashion. This is what the photograph of the demonstrators that political radicalism is likewise a phenomenon of youth a lingerie mannequin alongside a poster of communist propais involved is showing that they belong to the same reality; bottles. These things are seemingly contradictory, but what ganda, or the May '68 slogan 'Never Work' on some perfume imperialist metropolises, amid male fashion items; in another, guerrillas, who precisely wanted to carry the war into the Sale we see a book on the history of a group of English urban neous universes. For example, in an installation entitled For assembles elements that are supposed to belong to heterogecases, in which, as in the photomontages of the past, she small showcases, similar to commercial or advertising display On view today in many exhibitions, these installations are the installations that have made Josephine Meckseper famous. lar indignations. This way of reading the image is in tune with their march is itself a march of image consumers and spectacuspilling out of the dustbin have probably been thrown into it by and the dustbin underscores their basic homogeneity. The cans exploding it. By contrast, the photograph of the demonstrators the demonstrators. The photograph thus suggests to us that could not be integrated into the beautiful interior without war home. Martha Rosler's photomontages accentuated the heterogeneity of the elements: the image of the dead child the war. Indeed, it hurls this over-consumption at the feet of to the distant war in order to bolster activist energies hostile to the demonstrators who are again claiming to be bringing the

and the spectacle. Thus, the image seems to say to us: these demonstrators are there because they have consumed images of the collapse of the towers and the bombing in Iraq. And it is yet another spectacle that they are offering us in the streets. In the last instance, terrorism and consumption, protest and spectacle, are reduced to one and the same process governed by the commodity law of equivalence.

always a question of showing the spectator what she does not know how to see, and making her feel ashamed of what she is exhibited in the manner of a commodity display. But it is to be identical to the structure of a reality where everything images. In Josephine Meckseper, the display of images proves images. In Martha Rosler, the clash was intended to reveal the and clash that reveal the secret concealed by the exhibition of critique therefore always proposes to generate the short-circuit signs of distinction governed by commodity exhibition. Artistic imperialist violence behind the happy display of goods and your supposed gestures of revolt in this process of exhibiting here is the reality you do not want to see - the participation of nihilist horror of today's petty-bourgeois lifestyle. But also: to see - the boundless reign of commodity exhibition and the past. They still tell us: here is the reality you do not know how denied reality. In that case, the critical system would simply any guilt about the beings situated on the side of the dark or effectiveness of the critical discourse disappears, and with it, fashion follow the dual logic of the activist intervention of the display cases that mix revolutionary propaganda and youth reveal its own extinction. Yet that is not how it is. The small cedure: if everything is nothing but spectacular exhibition, the contrast between appearance and reality that grounded the conclusion, it would lead to the abolition of the critical pro-But were this visual demonstration to be taken to its logical

does not want to see, even if it means that the critical system presents itself as a luxury commodity pertaining to the very logic it denounces.

public space, it is the lie of misery that writes the text. All generalized lightening: 'Whatever the idea expressed in the our contemporaries do the opposite: they project into the survive it in the mode of necessary illusion. Marx saw human fiction of a solid reality the inverted image of this process of the heaven of religion and ideology. According to Sloterdijk, beings as projecting the inverted image of their real misery into ings of guilt about misery survive the loss of their object. They Sloterdijk, it is because belief in the solidity of reality and feelno longer have any rationale. If they survive, according to 'definitions of reality formulated by the ontology of poverty' result, the traditional procedures of critical thinking based on harshness and misery, and with it its weight of reality. As a its erstwhile gravity, intending by that its load of suffering, reality. But it also expresses the idea that life has lost much of conquer space and those which have replaced the solid industrial world by technologies of communication and virtual to the technical inventions that have enabled human beings to anti-gravitation. In the first instance, the term obviously refers As he describes it, the process of modernity is a process of but by a philosopher, Peter Sloterdijk, in his book Sphären III. Such, in substance, is the argument defended not by an artist the desire to ignore what makes us guilty into the desire to rance of reality or the denial of misery into ignorance of the ignore the fact that there is nothing we need feel guilty about. fact that reality and misery have disappeared; to transform of the critical paradigm: it proclaims the obsolescence of the latter only to reproduce its mechanism; to transform the igno-There is thus clearly a dialectic inherent in the denunciation

discourses are subject to the law that consists in re-translating the luxury that has come to power into the jargon of misery. The guilty embarrassment experienced at the disappearance of gravity and misery is supposedly expressed upside down by adopting the old discourse of misery and victimization.

This analysis invites us to liberate ourselves from the forms and content of the critical tradition. But it only does so at the price of reproducing its logic. It once again tells us that we are victims of a comprehensive structure of illusion, victims of our ignorance and resistance to an irresistible total process of development of the productive forces: the process of dematerialization of wealth whose consequence is the loss of old beliefs and ideals. It is easy to recognize in this line of argument the indestructible logic of the *Communist Manifesto*. It is not for nothing that a putative postmodernism has had to borrow from it its canonical formula: 'All that is solid melts into air'. Everything supposedly becomes fluid, liquid, gaseous; and it only remains to laugh at ideologues who still believe in the reality of reality, misery and wars.

However provocative in intent, these theses remain trapped in the logic of the critical tradition. They remain faithful to the thesis of the ineluctable historical process and its necessary effect: the mechanism of inversion that transforms reality into illusion or illusion into reality, poverty into wealth or wealth into poverty. They continue to denounce an inability to know and a desire to ignore. And they still point to a culpability at the heart of that denial. This critique of the critical tradition therefore still employs its concepts and procedures. But something, it is true, has changed. Yesterday, these procedures still intended to create forms of consciousness and energies

<sup>2</sup> Peter Sloterdijk, Sphären III. Schäume, Frankfurt: Suhrkamp, 2004.

S

directed towards a process of emancipation. Now they are either entirely disconnected from this horizon of emancipation or clearly directed against his dream.

buried beneath the rubbish of its frenzied consumption. It also post-Marxist and post-Situationist wisdom is not content to spectacle, of the equivalence between everything and everychanted knowledge of the reign of the commodity and the furnish a phantasmagorical depiction of a humanity completely thing else and between everything and its own image. This weapons. Today, it has become exactly the opposite: a disendomination in order to equip those challenging it with new ago, it was supposed to denounce the machinery of social sumer society, and of the empire of the spectacle. Forty years mythologies of the commodity, of the illusions of the contion grew up in: the Marxism of the denunciation of the ism. We might say the same of the Marxism that my generabook by Marx that had become the Bible of bourgeois scient-Soviet Revolution as a revolution against Capital, against the of Marx and Coca-Cola. Gramsci once characterized the mous father who testifies to the shared infamy of the children quist's voice. He has become the infamous spectre or the infa-He is now lodged at the heart of the system as its ventriloby Coca-Cola. He has not disappeared. He has changed places. the past forty years is not that Marx has disappeared, absorbed of Coca-Cola were fighting, or at any rate thought they were fighting, alongside the children of Marx. What has changed in they marched against the Vietnam War, the children of the age was already waxing ironic about the 'children of Marx and Coca-Cola'. However, he marched with them because, when probation of the demonstrators. After all, in the 1960s Godard tors and the dustbin. The photograph indeed shows no disap-Such is the context illustrated by the fable of the demonstra-

> characterized as the crimes of democratic individuals. the commodity and the spectacle whose depredations are rehave turned to the right, where they fuel a new critique of to this ineluctable empire. On the other, activist energies has become a form of ironic or melancholic acquiescence wing denunciation of the empire of commodities and images tribution of political positions. On the one hand, the old leftequivalence. This dual inculpation involves a remarkable redisprotests, we contribute to the infamous reign of commodity through our own consumption of commodities, spectacles and no longer anything to feel guilty about; but also because, of reality and culpability, affecting not to know that there is ventriloquist spectre tells us that we are doubly guilty, guilty any spectacle a commodity. It makes it an expression of futilfor two opposite reasons: because we stick with the old verities that claims to challenge it. It makes any protest a spectacle and depicts the law of domination as a force seizing on anything ity, but also a demonstration of culpability. The voice of the

Thus, on the one hand we have left-wing irony or melancholy. It urges us to admit that all our desires for subversion still obey the law of the market and that we are simply indulging in the new game available on the global market – that of unbounded experimentation with our own lives. It shows us absorbed into the belly of the beast, where even our capacities for autonomous, subversive practices, and the networks of interaction that we might utilize against it, serve the new power of the beast – that of immaterial production. The beast, so it is said, gets a stranglehold on the desires and capacities of its potential enemies by offering them, at the cheapest price, the most desirable of commodities – the capacity to experiment with one's life as a fertile ground for infinite possibilities. It thus offers everyone what they might desire: reality TV shows

sion, its light, innovative structures, its appeal to individual initiative and the 'projective city'. creativity with its newfound 'flexibility', its flexible supervitalism, supplying those desires for autonomy and authentic that have arguably been incorporated by contemporary capispecific to the working-class movement: the critique of that destroys the bonds of community. These are the themes inequalities and misery and the denunciation of the egotism ticity, creativity and autonomy - as against its 'social' critique, protest against a disenchanted world and demands for authenprioritized the themes of the 'artistic critique' of capitalism with the resources to regenerate itself. May '68 supposedly capitalism, which was in difficulty after the oil crisis of 1973, especially of the student movement of May '68, supplied these sociologists, the slogans of the revolts of the 1960s, and Eve Chiapello's The New Spirit of Capitalism. According to fallen. This discourse has found its fuel in Luc Boltanski and to rejuvenate itself by feeding off oppositional energies, have capitalist power, and who instead furnished it with the means is the trap into which those who believed in bringing down ment for the malign. This, the melancholic discourse tells us, for the cretinous and increased possibilities of self-enhance-

In itself, the thesis is pretty flimsy. There is a world of difference between the discourses for managerial seminars that supply it with its material and the reality of contemporary forms of capitalist domination, where labour 'flexibility' signifies forced adaptation to increased forms of productivity under the threat of redundancies, closures and relocations, rather than an appeal to the generalized creativity of the chilwas foreign to the slogans of the 1968 movement. Quite the reverse, it campaigned against the theme of 'participation' and

being and forms of action. caused to the rightful distribution of classes, their ways of understands its anxiety finally to liquidate the disruption they cipation. But by the same token it signified the disordering to rediscover such disorder in the slogans of 1968, and one structed in the nineteenth century. It is perfectly natural for it world has always rejected, against which it was itself conof classes and identities that the sociological view of the of free collectivity, was at the heart of working-class emanaesthetic, the discovery of individuality for all and the project seeing and saying that characterized working-class identity in aesthetic emancipation, a break with the ways of feeling, of community. Social emancipation was simultaneously an the old hierarchical order. This solidarity of the social and the tence and capacities, wrested from the constraint of old bonds never been separate from a new experience of individual exisstruggle against misery and for community bonds to workers But the collective struggle for working-class emancipation has and the individualist desire for autonomous creativity to the with Bourdieu's teaching, it makes do with attributing the fleetingly rebellious children of the big or petty bourgeoisie. based on any analysis of historical forms of protest. In line sition between the artistic critique and the social critique is not modernized and humanized capitalism that were at the heart of the invitation to educated, generous youth to participate in a 1960s neo-capitalist ideology and state reformism. The oppo-

It is therefore neither the novelty nor the strength of the thesis that has proved seductive, but the way in which it puts the 'critical' theme of the complicit illusion back to work. It thus provides fuel for the melancholic version of leftism, which feeds off the dual denunciation of the power of the beast and the illusions of those who serve it when they think they

ologists of our time? war which is described as follows by one of the eminent sociphotographed by Josephine Meckseper do when faced with a immaterial. Indeed, what can the demonstrators/consumers reality of domination, which has become gaseous, liquid, ways of a world that lacks any solid point for opposing the enchanted registration of the impossibility of changing the a capitalism that has lost its spirit. 4 But its normal pitch is disinverted activism, aimed no longer at destroying but at saving capitalism by Brian Holmes.3 It also fuels proposals for an Paolo Virno; or the virtual subversion counter-posed to virtual lect, today absorbed by Capital and the State, advocated by radical: the mass defection of the forces of the General Intelunderpins proposals for a radicalism that would at last be 'artistic' revolts leads to several conclusions: on occasion, it are fighting it. It is true that the thesis of the recuperation of

The prime technique of power is now escape, slippage, elision and avoidance, the effective rejection of any territorial confinement with its cumbersome corollaries of order-building, order-maintenance and the responsibility for the consequences of it all as well as of the necessity to bear the costs ... Blows delivered by stealthy fighter planes and 'smart' self-guided and target-seeking missiles—delivered by surprise, coming from nowhere and immediately

vanishing from sight – replaced the territorial advances of the infantry troops and the effort to dispossess the enemy of its territory ... Military force and its 'hit and run' war-plan prefigured, embodied and portended what was really at stake in the new type of war in the era of liquid modernity: not the conquest of a new territory, but crushing the walls which stopped the flow of new, fluid global powers ... <sup>3</sup>

This diagnosis was published in 2000. It has scarcely been fully confirmed by the military actions of the past eight years. But melancholic prediction does not revolve around verifiable facts. It simply tells us: things are not what they seem to be. This is a proposition that does not run the risk of ever being refuted. Melancholy feeds on its own impotence. It is enough for it to be able to convert it into a generalized impotence and reserve for itself the position of the lucid mind casting a disenchanted eye over a world in which critical interpretation of the system has become an element of the system itself.

Opposite this left-wing melancholy we have seen a new right-wing frenzy developing that reformulates denunciation of the market, the media and the spectacle as a critique of the ravages of the democratic individual. By the term 'democracy', dominant opinion previously understood the convergence between a form of government based on public freedoms and an individual way of life based on the freedom to choose offered by the free market. As long as the Soviet Empire lasted, it counter-posed such democracy to the enemy dubbed totalitarianism. But consensus over the formula identifying democracy with the sum of human rights, free markets and

<sup>3</sup> See Paolo Vimo, Miracle, virtuosité et 'déjà-vu'. Trois essais sur l'idée de 'monde', Paris: Éditions de l'Éclat, 1996; Brian Holmes, 'The Flexible Personality: For a New Cultural Critique', in Hiero-glyphs of the Future: Arts and Politics in a Networked Era, Paris and Zagreb: Broadcasting Project, 2002 (also available at www.transform.eipcp.net), as well as 'Réveiller les fantômes collectifs. Résistance réticulaire, personnalité flexible' (www.republicart.net). Bernard Stiegler, Mécréance et discrédit 3. L'esprit perdu du capitalisme, Paris: Galilée, 2006.

<sup>5</sup> Zygmunt Bauman, Liquid Modernity, Cambridge: Polity Press, 2000, pp. 11-12.

exclusive law of the market. molecules, lacking any affiliation, wholly amenable to the transform our societies into free aggregates of disconnected by the law of Capital; and that their sole effect has been to tional authority opposed to the generalized invasion of life student movements of the 1960s, and in particular that of May '68 in France, aimed solely at the destruction of forms of tradiabout that triumph. On this basis it was easy to prove that the they are of equality, the more passionately they help bring the market in all human relations. And the more enamoured commodity. Consequently, what they want is the triumph of want is that which obtains between the seller and the buyer of a Democratic individuals want equality. But the equality they vidual concerned exclusively with satisfying her desires. schools, religion and the family. That, they have argued, is the real meaning of the word 'democracy': the law of the indiity that used to place a limit on the power of the market: impelling those consumers to shatter any impediment to their of consumers of any commodity; and that these rights are now are the rights of the bourgeois egotistical individual, the rights gists, political philosophers and moralists have taken turns frenzy and thereby destroy all the traditional forms of authorexplaining to us that human rights, as Marx had clearly seen, enemy. Since 1989, increasingly enraged intellectual cambetween human rights and individual free choice. Sociolopaigns have denounced the deadly impact of the conjunction individual free choice vanished with the disappearance of its

But this new critique of the commodity went a step further by identifying as the result of the democratic thirst for egalitarian consumption not only the reign of the market but also the terrorist and totalitarian destruction of social and human bonds. In the past, individualism was counter-posed to total-

> cratic terrorism of unimpeded consumption: eminent philosopher and linguist, Jean-Claude Milner, gave a Finkielkraut, perceived the direct consequence of the demoination. And in the riots in the French suburbs in November needed to eliminate it and was the sole beneficiary of this elimthis tendency inherent in democracy. That is why the latter filiation and transmission, it represented the only obstacle to Jewish people, by contrast, is the people loyal to the law of expansion of this process of boundlessness. Because the boundlessness; it is inspired by the desire for the unlimited nation of Jews. Democracy, he argued, is the reign of social imputed to democratic Europe was quite simply the extermichants criminels de l'Europe démocratique. The crime he more radical twist to this interpretation in his book Les Penencapsulated in homosexual marriage. Two years later, an punishment for the Western destruction of the symbolic order, the terrorist attack was the return of the Western repressed and philosopher, Pierre Legendre, explained in Le Monde that lapse of the Twin Towers, an eminent psychoanalyst, jurist choice and boundless consumption. At the moment of the colbecomes the result of the individualistic fanaticism for free itarianism. But in this new theorization, totalitarianism 2005, the spokesman of the French media intelligentsia, Alain

These people who destroy schools – what are they actually saying? Their message is not a call for help or a demand for more schools or better schools. It is the desire to liquidate the intermediaries between themselves and the objects of their desires. And what are the objects of their desires – it's simple: money, brands, and sometimes girls … they want it all now, and what they want is the ideal of the consumer society. That's what they see on television. 6

<sup>6</sup> Alain Finkielkraut, interview with *Haaretz*, 18 November 2005.

Since the same author asserted that these youth had been pushed into rioting by Islamist fanatics, in the end the demonstration reduced democracy, consumption, puerility, religious fanaticism and terrorist violence to a single figure. The critique of consumption and the spectacle was ultimately identified with the crudest themes of the clash of civilizations and the war on terror.

reasons why this critique lacks any impact. bereft of any impact on patients whose illness consists in not malady of civilization. But this enlightened reason emerges garb of enlightened reason deciphering the symptoms of a of effectiveness. The melancholics and the prophets don the the system is finally identified with a demonstration of the knowing themselves to be sick. The interminable critique of critical procedures and their purpose strips them of any hope we contribute to its triumph. But this disconnection between that the more we try to break the power of the beast, the more to admit that we are satisfied by it. Right-wing frenzy warns us ognize that there is no alternative to the power of the beast and empire it denounces. Left-wing melancholy invites us to reccombatants in the social struggle. The revelation continues. ultimate truth of beautiful appearances, in order to arm the But it is no longer thought to supply any weapon against the model that claimed to reveal the law of the commodity as the same coin. Both operate the same inversion of the critical tique with left-wing melancholy. But they are two sides of the I have contrasted this right-wing frenzy of post-critical cri-

Obviously, the impotence of enlightened reason is not fortuitous. It is intrinsic to this variety of post-critical critique. The same prophets who deplore the defeat of Enlightenment reason when faced with the terrorism of 'democratic individualism' focus suspicion on that reason. In the 'terror' they

of community. And it is only natural that the critical reversal counter-revolutionary interpretation of the democratic revoluanalysis of bourgeois revolution and commodity fetishism to campaign openly adopts this analysis of the link between rorism and capitalist exploitation. The current anti-democratic wanting protection, had become available for both mass tercorporations and so forth. This was the fruit of the spirit of revolutionary analysis of the French Revolution. According to has a clearly identifiable history. It goes back to the counterreligion, traditional solidarities. Now, this line of argument of individual atoms, released from the bonds of traditional denounce they perceive the consequence of the free floating of the critical tradition derived from Marxism should lead tion as a bourgeois individualist revolution rending the fabric in fact developed on the terrain of the post-revolutionary and rights, bourgeois revolution and alienated social relations had more than one nutrient from it. The Marxist critique of human it, it is because Marxism itself grew in this soil and derived democracy, market and terror. But if it can reduce the Marxist As a result, these individuals, released, de-cultured and Enlightenment, which was that of Protestant individualism. individuals: religion, monarchy, feudal ties of dependence, lective institutions that assembled, educated and protected it, the French Revolution had destroyed the fabric of the colinstitutions that held human beings together: family, school,

It is therefore false to say that the tradition of social and cultural critique is exhausted. It is doing very well, in the inverted form that now structures the dominant discourse. Quite simply, it has been restored to its original terrain: interpretation of modernity as an individualist sundering of the social bond and of democracy as mass individualism. Therewith it has been

restored to the original tension between the logic of this interpretation of 'democratic modernity' and the logic of social emancipation. The current disconnection between critique of the market and the spectacle and any emancipatory aim is the ultimate form of a tension which, from the start, has haunted the movement for social emancipation.

activities. In fact, social emancipation signified breaking this capacities for feeling, saying and doing appropriate to those incapacity to conquer a different space and a different time. It fit between an 'occupation' and a 'capacity', which entailed an ing particular occupations there, and being equipped with the between the fact of being in a specific time and place, practisrelationship between an occupation and an equipment; distribution of the sensible': the existence of a 'harmonious' soul, the sensory and intellectual equipment, that adapts and theatre - but also because the divinity has given them the iron work does not wait - it does not allow time for going to chat in community, where artisans must remain in their place because fixes them to their occupation. This is what I call the 'police the agora, deliberate at the assembly and watch shadows in the tual equipment appropriate to that place and duty. It is Plato's allocated to them, and equipped with the sensory and intelleccommunity that is the subject of their nostalgia is one where everyone is in their place, their class, taken up with the duty bond feel misty-eyed today. The harmoniously structured ago, and about which post-Marxist thinkers of the lost social ers of counter-revolution were dreaming about two centuries thing as the 'harmonious fabric of community' that the thinkemancipation wanted to escape from is, in principle, the same of minority. This state of minority which the activists of social meaning of the word 'emancipation': emergence from a state To understand this tension, we need to return to the original

signified dismantling the labouring body adapted to the occupation of an artisan who knows that work does not wait and whose senses are adapted to this 'absence of time'. Emancipated workers fashioned in the here and now a different body and a different 'soul' for this body—the body and soul of those who are not adapted to any specific occupation; who employ capacities for feeling and speaking, thinking and acting, that do not belong to any particular class, but which belong to anyone and everyone.

only occur as the end-point of the total process that had sepanow could be an illusion, generated by the process of separarated society from its truth. perspective, the forms of emancipation of those artisans who of knowledge of the total process of that separation. From this community. And this re-appropriation could only be the result only appear as a general re-appropriation of a good lost by the ated, projected above or against it. Emancipation could then whose unity had been shattered, whose wealth had been alien-Marx, subjection to the law of Capital was the law of a society summed up in exemplary fashion in the texts of the young tion and by ignorance of that process. Emancipation could fashioned a new body to live in a new sensible world here and cally blended with a quite different idea of domination and domination with a process of separation and, in consequence, liberation with regaining a lost unity. According to this vision, liberation and, in the end, subjected to it: the one that linked But this idea and this practice of emancipation were histori-

On this basis, emancipation was no longer conceived as the construction of new capacities. It was the promise of science to those whose illusory capacities could be nothing but the reverse side of their real incapacity. But the very logic of science was that of an endless deferment of the promise. The

summarized the logic of this circle in a lapidary formula: 'In a duces the falsification that is identical to its reality. Debord comes down to knowing the way in which it endlessly reproscience that proclaims it. To know the law of the spectacle the cave. But this declaration of impotence rebounds on the lives differently, the more they sink into the servitude of selves capable of constructing their individual and collective poverty for wealth. And the more the prisoners imagine themimages are taken for realities, ignorance for knowledge, and shackled prisoners in Plato's cave. The cave is the place where wealth as a separate reality. The situation of those who live in cealing reality. It is the existence of social activity and social by Guy Debord: the spectacle is not the display of images conhidden secret is nothing but the obvious functioning of the process as a process of self-concealment. In the end, the quence of the original logic that conceives the total social enrichment, which could only enclose individuals in the trap the society of the spectacle is thus identical to that of the machine. That is the truth of the concept of spectacle as fixed image and reality. But this inversion is simply the consethere was no longer any room for distinguishing between was inverted in the 1980s, with the disabused assertion that passion for deciphering the deceptive messages of any image deceptive messages they concealed. We also know how this cle, by the critical reading of images and the unveiling of the Barthes' Mythologies and Guy Debord's Society of the Spectaof illusion, subjection and misery that bit more. We know deceptive images and unmasking the illusory forms of selfrance. That is why it constantly had to set about deciphering process whose effect is endlessly to generate its own ignoscience that promised freedom was also the science of the total the degree of passion attained, between the time of Roland

> critical paradigm. It can mock its illusions, but it reproduces emancipation simply reveals the disjunction at the heart of the off the indestructibility of the secret and the endless reproducand poverty, in a different direction. From the very beginning, disconnection between critical procedures and any prospect of tion of the process of falsification it denounced. The current reveal the hidden secret of modern society. That science fed well have been the hidden secret of the science that claimed to the nihilism attributed to the postmodern temperament might of reading the same equation of reality and the image, wealth ernist critique to postmodern nihilism. It is simply a question in the same circle. There is no theoretical transition from modputative postmodern turn is, in this sense, merely another turn the critique of false wealth into a critique of false poverty. The could be converted into a critique of the illusion of reality, and subjection. That is why the critique of the illusion of images to the inverted world, knowledge of subjection to the world of of falsehood." Thus, knowledge of the inversion itself belongs world that really has been turned on its head, truth is a moment

That is why a genuine 'critique of critique' cannot be a further inversion of its logic. It takes the form of a re-examination of its concepts and its procedures, their genealogy and the way in which they became intertwined with the logic of social emancipation. In particular, it takes the form of a new look at the history of the obsessive image around which inversion of the critical model occurred – the image, totally hackneyed and yet endlessly serviceable, of the poor cretin of an individual consumer, drowned by the flood of commodities and images

<sup>7</sup> Guy Debord, The Society of the Spectacle, trans. Donald Nicholson-Smith, New York: Zone Books, 1994, p. 14.

shared world of knowledge and pleasures. and images, window displays in shopping precincts and lights in public towns, was transforming into full inhabitants of a without qualities whom the proliferation of reproduced texts democracy; that of the multiplicity of those individuals which was the subject of the form of government called tity coincided with another - that of the popular multitude images'. The problem is that this scientific promotion of quan-Taine, psychology transformed the brain into a 'polyp of had been the unity and simplicity of the soul; and when, with multiplicity of nervous stimuli and circuits in place of what very specific context. It was when physiology discovered the established in the second half of the nineteenth century, in a in the age of Barthes, Baudrillard and Debord. They became and seduced by their false promises. This obsessive concern representation of their blind, self-satisfied victim, did not arise with the baleful display of commodities and images, and this

It was in this context that a rumour began to be heard: too many stimuli have been unleashed on all sides; too many thoughts and images are invading brains that have not been prepared for mastering this abundance; too many images of possible pleasures are held out to the sight of the poor in big towns; too many new pieces of knowledge are being thrust into the feeble skulls of the children of the common people. This stimulation of their nervous energy is a grave danger. What results is an unleashing of unknown appetites producing, in the short term, new assaults on the social order; in the long run, exhaustion of solid, hardworking stock. Lamentation about a surfeit of consumable commodities and images was first and foremost a depiction of democratic society as one in which there are too many individuals capable of appropriating words, images and forms of lived experience. Such was in fact the

to reinvent lives was transformed into an inability to judge of mastering such multiplicity. In other words, the capacity solicitude for poor people whose fragile brains were incapable ciation. Obviously, this terror took the form of paternal seen, thought and done - fed on this multiplication. Denunciato say, the dismantling of the old distribution of what could be life: Emma Bovary and the International Workingmen's Assoporary figures of popular experimentation with new forms of initially the deed of elites gripped by terror at the twin contemtion of the misleading seduction of the 'consumer society' was of original capacities in popular bodies. Emancipation - that is plication of unprecedented encounters was also an awakening contribute to the reconfiguration of her life-world. This multilikely to give any passerby, visitor or reader materials liable to circulation of these unprecedented forms of lived experience, great anxiety of nineteenth-century elites: anxiety about the

This paternal concern, and the diagnosis of incapacity it involved, were widely adopted by those who wanted to use the science of social reality to enable the men and women of the people to become aware of their real situation disguised by mendacious images. They endorsed them because they espoused their own vision of the general dynamic of commodity production as automatic production of illusions for the agents subjected to them. In this way, they also endorsed the transformation of capacities dangerous for the social order into fatal incapacities. In effect, the procedures of social critique have as their goal treating the incapable: those who do not know how to see, who do not understand the meaning of what they see, who do not know how to transform acquired knowledge into activist energy. And doctors need these patients to look after. To treat incapacities, they need to reproduce

them indefinitely. Now, to ensure that reproduction, the twist suffices which periodically transforms health into sickness and sickness into health. Forty years ago, critical science made us laugh at the imbeciles who took images for realities and let themselves be seduced by their hidden messages. In the interim, the 'imbeciles' have been educated in the art of recognizing the reality behind appearances and the messages concealed in images. And now, naturally enough, recycled critical science makes us smile at the imbeciles who still think such things as concealed messages in images and a reality distinct from appearances exist. The machine can work in this way until the end of time, capitalizing on the impotence of the critique that unveils the impotence of the imbeciles.

of presentation and interpretation of the given imposing its a reality concealed behind appearances nor a single regime means is an organization of the sensible where there is neither of surfacing in any place and at any time. What 'dissensus' restored. What there is are simply scenes of dissensus, capable all desires and energies into its belly; no lost community to be transforming reality into image; no monstrous beast absorbing place. It would be assumed that there is no fatal mechanism be assumed that the incapable are capable; that there is no and the so-called critical logic that is its double. Thus, it would unreasonable from the perspective of our oligarchic societies hidden secret of the machine that keeps them trapped in their from different presuppositions, assumptions that are certainly logic of collective inveiglement. To escape the circle is to start between the emancipatory logic of capacity and the critical heart of this approach is the attempt to uncouple the link gested the need and direction of a change of approach. At the that forever maintain the same machinery. Instead, I have sug-Therefore, I do not want to add another twist to the reversals

> unmasking fetishes or the endless demonstration of the omnipanyone whatsoever, of the quality of human beings without scenes of dissensus. It is the employment of the capacity of subjection. It is the collectivization of capacities invested in a new topography of the possible. Collective understanding otence of the beast. the investigation of this power than in the endless task of qualities. As I have said, these are unreasonable hypotheses. of emancipation is not the comprehension of a total process of action of uncounted capacities that crack open the unity of what a process of political subjectivation consists in: in the obviousness of what can be perceived, thought and done, and alter the field of the possible and the distribution of capacities cracked open from the inside, reconfigured in a different Yet I believe that today there is more to be sought and found in the given and the obviousness of the visible, in order to sketch ing and altering the coordinates of the shared world. This is and incapacities. Dissensus brings back into play both the regime of perception and signification. To reconfigure the the distribution of those who are capable of perceiving, thinkobviousness on all. It means that every situation can be landscape of what can be seen and what can be thought is to