Hartree-Fock total energy and sum of orbital energies

Shorthand notation

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow |\varphi_{\alpha}\rangle \rightarrow |\alpha\rangle
$$

Slater determinant

$$
\Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\ldots,\nu} \quad \rightarrow \quad |\alpha,\beta,\ldots,\nu\rangle
$$

We have started our work with the Hartree-Fock equations by evaluating

$$
\langle \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\nu} | \left[T + V + \sum_{pairs \; ij} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} \right] | \Phi_{\alpha,\beta,\dots,\nu} \rangle \tag{1}
$$

This we have evaluated as

$$
\langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | (T + V) | \alpha \rangle + \sum_{pairs \alpha\beta} \left[\langle \alpha \beta | V_{ee} | \alpha \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha \beta | V_{ee} | \beta \alpha \rangle \right] (2)
$$

From this expression we have obtained Hartree-Fock equations by the variational procedure.

The Hartree-Fock equation can be then written as

$$
\left[T+V+\sum_{\beta}\langle\beta|\frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}\beta\rangle\right]|\alpha\rangle-\sum_{\beta}\left[\langle\beta|\frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}\alpha\rangle\right]|\beta\rangle = \varepsilon_{\alpha}|\alpha\rangle \quad (3)
$$

We form the matrix element with the given α

$$
\langle \alpha | \left[T + V + \sum_{\beta} \langle \beta | \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} | \beta \rangle \right] | \alpha \rangle - \langle \alpha | \left[\sum_{\beta} \langle \beta | \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} | \alpha \rangle \right] | \beta \rangle = \varepsilon_{\alpha} (4)
$$

since $\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle = 1$. This can be rewritten as

$$
\varepsilon_{\alpha} = \langle \alpha | (T + V) | \alpha \rangle + \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} [\langle \beta \alpha | V_{ee} | \beta \alpha \rangle - \langle \beta \alpha | V_{ee} | \alpha \beta \rangle] \tag{5}
$$

And now we can explore what is the sum of all ε_{α}

$$
\sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | (T + V) | \alpha \rangle + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} [\langle \beta \alpha | V_{ee} | \beta \alpha \rangle - \langle \beta \alpha | V_{ee} | \alpha \beta \rangle] \tag{6}
$$

which we can compare with the above $\langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle$

$$
\langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | (T + V) | \alpha \rangle + \sum_{pairs \alpha \beta} \left[\langle \alpha \beta | V_{ee} | \alpha \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha \beta | V_{ee} | \beta \alpha \rangle \right] (7)
$$

The two expressions are very similar, but they differ in fact by all the interaction term, since it is counted twice in the sum:

$$
\sum_{\alpha}\sum_{\beta\neq\alpha}F_{\alpha\beta} = 2\sum_{pairs \alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta}
$$

for any set of objects that are symmetric $F_{\alpha\beta} = F_{\beta\alpha}$

Our objects are symmetric, because they are in fact of the type $H_{\alpha\beta,\alpha\beta}$. The wavefunctions are antisymmetric, $|\alpha \beta \rangle = -|\beta \alpha \rangle$.

Thus, surprisingly perhaps

$$
\langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha} - \sum_{pairs \alpha\beta} \left[\langle \alpha \beta | V_{ee} | \alpha \beta \rangle - \langle \alpha \beta | V_{ee} | \beta \alpha \rangle \right] \tag{8}
$$